

Drawings

One sheet of drawings is attached. FIGS. 8 and 9 have been added as required by the examiner.

REMARKS

Corrections to the claims and drawings have been made.

Section 103 Rejections (Geneen ivo Matthews)

All claims were rejected as being unpatentable over Gennen in view of Matthews. Applicants request reconsideration in light of the following:

A critical feature of Applicants' invention is that the "emitters flash once for each heart beat". See elements F and H of Applicants' Claim 1 on which all other claims depend directly or indirectly.

There is nothing in either Geneen or Matthews that discloses or suggests emitters that flash "once for each heart beat". It is true that the amber light shown at Geneen's FIG. 6 does blink when the wearer heart is beating at the optimum safe rate, but there is nothing in Geneen that suggest that the blinking is at a rate equal to the wearer's heart beat. In fact Geneen suggest that the operator can look at the face of indicator 1 (shown in FIG. 5) to know that he is exercising within the safe condition of pulse rate. Indicator 1 as shown in FIG. 5 provides a numerical indication of heart rate.

Applicants submit that their invention as claimed (i.e., a first emitter flashing once for each heart beat and a second emitter flashing once for each heart beat once a threshold is reached) is not disclosed or suggested by the prior art.

Conclusion

For all of the above reasons, Applicants request that the claims as modified be allowed and that the application be allowed to issue as a patent.

Respectfully submitted



John R. Ross
Regis. No.:30,530
PO Box 2138
Del Mar, CA 92014